

## CIL proposal to CCH Neighbourhood Committee (NC) – Bicycle racks outside Clifton Lido

The CCH NC is being asked to approve £6,000 of CIL money. It will be used to fund either option B or part fund preferred option C. The funding approval is needed to firstly get Highways Dept. to finalise the design options and also support the efforts to get matched funding for the preferred option C from the local businesses. If we don't get the matched funding we will progress with option B. If we do it will be option C. The matched funding essentially says; "if you prefer it then help us fund it". By Cllrs Clive Stevens & Carla Denyer (Clifton Down)

There is a need for more cycle racks in many central areas, this particular location ends up with cycles chained to lampposts and railings, so a need for more racks...

### a) Option originally considered at CCH T&T but now ruled out.



About three months ago proposal (a) came to the T&T subgroup. This double lane bike rack solution outside the front of the Lido, seemed the cheapest & best option.

BUT we now discover it's not an option because:

- It reduces the pavement width and access (especially disabled).
- Neither the manager of the Lido nor the local community group want it here either.

Above – mock up of double lane bike rack "solution". The RHS racks as exist now, the LHS racks are mocked up.

### b) NEW - option b (note preferred option c on next page)

So ruling out option (a) above, we investigated other options. This one runs along the side of the Lido. There is no need for a TRO for this location so the cost is less than originally thought (now estimated at approx. £6,000). It has approval from the Lido's manager but not the local community group nor the pub. So although this is an option, design c (next page) is the preferred one if we can get matched funding from the local businesses.



#### Option (b) for £6,000.

Put in a small buildout or pavement extension with a gully or pipe to carry water runoff down to the drain.

And then the cycle racks along the new kerb, perhaps at right angles as shown or at 30° or even parallel to road.

Left – mock up of the buildout plus racks. We are not sure how many can be fitted in, to get detailed work done by Highways we need funding conditionally approved.

**c) NEW - preferred option c**

For a total of approx. £12,000 (of which we plan to get £3,000 each contributions from the Lido and the owners of the pub chain), we could pedestrianise a small area, put in bike racks and a tree (using S106) and make the whole area a lot better and fitting for being outside one of Bristol's attractions. This has the support of the managers of the Lido, the Victoria pub and the local resident's group

So option C is funded by CIL of £6,000 plus private contributions of £6,000.

Note: Highways estimate was originally £15,000 but that was for a TRO wholly covered by this plus engineering works to the drainage. This is smaller. Highways won't look at this again until we have an indication of funding.



This option (c) would pave off approx. 24m<sup>2</sup> of road but lose 1 residents' parking space.

Pedestrianisation would also protect the old wooden cover to the pub's cellar which is sometimes illegally parked on by cars which is a safety hazard for the car and driver.

Note the desire for a tree too, a pit would be built into the scheme.

Overall additional cycle parking and an improved public area. Spaces are quite precious and we seek to get your OK with an effective scheme that can enable the parking of lots of bikes.

**In summary**

**Option a was ruled out by Councillors after further investigations.**

**Options b and c both cost approx. £6,000 of CIL but option c also requires £6,000 of private money. Option c is preferred by both local businesses and the residents' group.**

**We circulated a version of this paper by email on the 23<sup>rd</sup> of May to Councillors and those members of the CCH NP Traffic and Transport Sub Group (as there was no meeting).**

**So far four replies have been received and can be summarised as:**

- Still try for option A
- Go with option B as it will be done, option C is contingent on business support, a TRO and loses one RPZ parking space.
- Go with option C (x 2)

**So, we (Cllrs Stevens and Denyer) propose option C, with option B available as a fall-back if matched funding is unavailable. We therefore ask this Neighbourhood Partnership to approve the allocation of £6,000 CIL. (the first step, once money is committed, is to ask Highways to draw up more accurate plans for options B and C) - Thank you.**